

Decision Procedures  
 An Algorithmic Point of View  
 Linear Arithmetic

D. Kroening O. Strichman

ETH/Technion

Version 1.0, 2007

Part V

Linear Arithmetic

Fourier-Motzkin Variable Elimination  
 Outline

- 1 History
- 2 Linear Arithmetic over the Reals
- 3 Partitioning and Bounds
- 4 Complexity

Fourier-Motzkin Variable Elimination

- Goal: decide satisfiability of conjunction of linear constraints over reals

$$\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{i,j} x_j \leq b_i$$

- Earliest method for solving linear inequalities
- Discovered in 1826 by Fourier, re-discovered by Motzkin in 1936
- Basic idea of variable elimination:
  - Pick one variable and eliminate it
  - Continue until all variables but one are eliminated

Linear Arithmetic over the Reals

Input: A system of conjoined linear inequalities  $A\bar{x} \leq \bar{b}$

$$m \text{ constraints } \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$n$  variables

Removing unbounded variables

- Iteratively remove variables that are not bounded in both ways (and all the constraints that use them)
- The new problem has a solution iff the old problem has one!

$$\begin{array}{l} \cancel{8x} \geq \cancel{7y} \\ \cancel{x} \geq \cancel{3} \\ y \geq z \\ z \geq 10 \\ 20 \geq z \end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{l} \cancel{y} \geq \cancel{z} \\ z \geq 10 \\ 20 \geq z \end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{l} z \geq 10 \\ 20 \geq z \end{array}$$

## Partitioning the Constraints

1. When eliminating  $x_n$ , partition the constraints according to the coefficient  $a_{i,n}$ :

- $a_{i,n} > 0$ : upper bound  $\beta_i$
- $a_{i,n} < 0$ : lower bound  $\beta_i$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} \cdot x_j \leq b_i$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{i,n} \cdot x_n \leq b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{i,j} \cdot x_j$$

$$\Rightarrow x_n \leq \frac{b_i}{a_{i,n}} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{i,j}}{a_{i,n}} \cdot x_j =: \beta_i$$

## Example for Upper and Lower Bounds

- |                                |             |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
|                                | Category?   |
| (1) $x_1 - x_2 \leq 0$         | Upper bound |
| (2) $x_1 - x_3 \leq 0$         | Upper bound |
| (3) $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 0$ | Lower bound |
| (4) $-x_3 \leq -1$             |             |

Assume we eliminate  $x_1$ .

## Adding the constraints

2. For each pair of a lower bound  $a_{l,n} < 0$  and upper bound  $a_{u,n} > 0$ , we have

$$\beta_l \leq x_n \leq \beta_u$$

3. For each such pair, add the constraint

$$\beta_l \leq \beta_u$$

## Fourier-Motzkin: Example

- |  |             |
|--|-------------|
|  | Category?   |
| <del>(1) <math>x_1 - x_2 \leq 0</math></del>         | Upper bound |
| <del>(2) <math>x_1 - x_3 \leq 0</math></del>         | Upper bound |
| <del>(3) <math>-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 0</math></del> | Lower bound |
| (4) $-x_3 \leq -1$                                   | Lower bound |
| we eliminate $x_1$                                   |             |
| (5) $2x_3 \leq 0$ (from 1,3)                         | Upper bound |
| (6) $x_2 + x_3 \leq 0$ (from 2,3)                    | Upper bound |
| we eliminate $x_3$                                   |             |
| (7) $0 \leq -1$ (from 4,5)                           |             |

→ **Contradiction** (the system is UNSAT)

## Complexity

• Worst-case complexity:

$$m \rightarrow m^2 \rightarrow (m^2)^2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow m^{2^n}$$

• Heavy! So why is it so popular in verification?



• The bottleneck: case-splitting